Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Ar	Applicant(s)		
	10/032,826	М	MCNULTY ET AL.		
	Examiner	Ar	t Unit		
	Leslie Wong	21	64		
All Participants:	Status of Applic	ation:			
(1) <u>Leslie Wong</u> .	(3)				
(2) James D Ivey.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>11 January 2006</u>	Time:				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	pplicant's representative)				
If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 23					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF W	/HAT WAS DI	SCHSSED.		
See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATORE OF W	THAT WAS DI	3CU33ED.		
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will proving the substantal 	ide a written sonce of the inte	ummary of the	e substance	
(Audional ODE Cianatura)					
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Represe	entative Signat	ure – if appro	priate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to suggest the incorporation of claim 3 into 1, 14 into 12, and 25 into 23 to further define the claimed invention. The representative agreed to do so and authorized the Examiner to enter the proposed amendments via an Examiner's amendment.